(3)

c/1004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 758 /2002

PETITIONER : Smt. Kaushalya Devi
W/o Haraklal,
Aged about 34 years,
R/o Bhatgaon, PO:Bhatgaon,
Teh.Pratappur, Distt. Sarguja.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
S.E.C.L.,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur.

2) Director (Personnel),
S.E.C.L.,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur.

3) Managing Director,
S.E.C.L.,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur.

4) Dy-Chief Engineer,
Mining,
S.E.C.L.,Bhatgaon,
Teh.Pratappur,Distt. Sarguja.

P.R. No. 2-5-02
Presented by Shri Silvestre
dated 11.4.02

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1) Particulars of Petitioner

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 758/2002

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	8-5-2002	

Shri P. Diwakar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Dhirendra Mishra, Advocate for the respondents.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying that the respondents be directed to immediately issue compassionate appointment order to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is wife of Haraklal who was the employee of respondents. It is submitted that on account of hearing deficiency due to blasting during working hours, Haraklal was sent to Medical Board and thereafter he was compelled to take retirement vide Annexure A/1. He submits that the petitioner is entitled for compassionate appointment.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, it is directed that the matter

XI-HC-22

(29)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक W.P.No.758/2002

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	by review order be examined by the respondents within six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. It is further directed that if any adverse order is passed, the same may be challenged before this Court afresh. With these directions, the petition is disposed. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	